

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

United States of America	)	
	)	Cr. No. 0:04-1033
vs.	)	Cr. No. 0:05-0393
	)	Cr. No. 3:05-0944
Daniel Ryan Pilson,	)	
	)	<b>ORDER AND OPINION</b>
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

This matter currently is before the court on Defendant Daniel Ryan Pilson’s motions seeking compassionate relief pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i), which motion was filed on June 18, 2020. Defendant states that he has an elderly grandmother whom he needs to look after.

Under § 3582(c)(1)(A), the court, on motion of a defendant after (1) fully exhausting administrative rights to appeal a failure of the BOP to bring a motion on his behalf, or (2) the lapse of 30 days from the receipt of such a request by the warden of the facility, whichever is earlier, may reduce the term of imprisonment. The First Step Act mandates the defendant exhaust administrative remedies prior to seeking relief in this court. *See Ross v. Blake*, 136 S. Ct. 1850, 1857 (2016) (finding “mandatory exhaustion statutes . . . establish mandatory exhaustion regimes, foreclosing judicial discretion”); *United States v. Monzon*, No. 99cr157, 2020 WL 550220, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 4, 2020) (denying motion for reduction of sentence because defendant failed to exhaust his administrative remedies, but declining to decide whether exhaustion requirement is jurisdictional). Defendant does not allege he has made such a request to his warden, or exhausted his administrative remedies under § 3582(c)(1)(A).<sup>1</sup> Because the BOP has not brought this motion, and Defendant has

---

<sup>1</sup> Defendant must first apply to his Warden, and, if he receives a denial within 30 days, must appeal the Warden’s denial to the Regional Director within 20 days and continue to appeal any denial until his administrative remedies are exhausted. See 28 C.F.R. part 542, subpart B. If the Warden does not act on the request within 30 days from its receipt, Defendant may reapply to this court.

not alleged he exhausted his administrative remedies, his motions for compassionate release (ECF Nos. 209, 164, 251) are **denied**, without prejudice.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Margaret B. Seymour  
Senior United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina

June 24, 2020